

## PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001

Ph: 080-22371011, Email- [plabangalore@gmail.com](mailto:plabangalore@gmail.com)

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

### DIARY

Date: 06.01.2021

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<b>ICICI BANK LIMITED- BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</b>			
1	331/2015	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-3 and her appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
2	332/2015	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
3	333/2015	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
4	339/2015	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>

		For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance.	
5	342/2015	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
6	113/2018	<p>No representation on behalf of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For arguments as last chance.</p>	<b>25.01.2021</b>
7	181/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard his arguments. The Respondent is absent. In spite of granting sufficient opportunity, the Respondent did not turn up to address arguments. In fact, he did not turn up even to file Written Statement, for settlement through Conciliation and also for adducing any evidence. No ground for further adjournment. Hence, his argument is taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondent shall pay the Petitioner a sum of <b>Rs.2,22,300.60 (Rupees Two Lakhs Twenty Two Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty Paise only)</b> with interest at the rate of <b>Rs.16.50% p.a.</b>, from <b>18-04-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.1,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
8	184/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard his arguments. The Respondent is absent. In spite of granting sufficient opportunity, the Respondent did not turn up to address arguments. In fact, she did not turn up even to file Written Statement, for settlement through Conciliation and also for adducing any evidence. No ground for further adjournment. Hence, her argument is taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p>	

		<b><u>ORDER</u></b>	
		The Petition is allowed. The Respondent shall pay the Petitioner a sum of <b>Rs.2,52,600.05 (Rupees Two Lakhs Fifty Two Thousand Six Hundred and Five Paise only)</b> with interest at the rate of <b>Rs.16.99% p.a.</b> , from <b>18-04-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.1,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.	
9	200/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and she did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p> <p>Petitioner is directed to send proposal of settlement to the Respondent.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
10	307/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh notice to the Respondent-2 by RPAD and SMS on the ground that earlier notice not returned. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-2 and her appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
11	315/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard his arguments. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of granting sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not turn up to address arguments. In fact, they did not turn up even to file Written Statement, for settlement through Conciliation and also for adducing any evidence. No ground for further adjournment. Hence, their argument is taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.4,85,388.12 (Rupees Four Lakhs Eighty Five Thousand Three Hundred Eighty Eight and Twelve Paise Only)</b> with interest at the rate of <b>Rs.15% p.a.</b>, from <b>20-08-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.2,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	

12	316/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard his arguments. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of granting sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not turn up to address arguments. In fact, they did not turn up even to file Written Statement, for settlement through Conciliation and also for adducing any evidence. No ground for further adjournment. Hence, their argument is taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.4,50,631.12 (Rupees Four Lakhs Fifty Thousand Six Hundred Thirty One and Twelve Paise Only)</b> with interest at the rate of <b>Rs.15.11% p.a.</b>, from <b>20-08-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.2,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
13	349/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>
14	350/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>

		<p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
15	352/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>
16	358/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition</b></p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>

		<p><b>claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
17	454/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps to bring Legal Representatives of the Respondent on record as final and ultimate chance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
18	455/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
19	456/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
20	457/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>

		<p>not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
21	458/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>
22	459/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
23	460/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>

		<p><b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
24	461/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>10.02.2021</b>
25	462/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
26	467/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>



		Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed. For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.	
27	469/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed. For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.	<b>05.02.2021</b>
28	472/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement. For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
29	475/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed. For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.	<b>05.02.2021</b>
30	477/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed. For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	<b>05.02.2021</b>
31	8/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondents-1 to 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement. For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
32	9/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 by RPAD. Heard. Permitted. Respondent-3 is absent. She did not file Written Statement. For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>09.02.2021</b>

33	11/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
34	12/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
35	13/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
36	14/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and her appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
37	15/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps to bring Legal Representatives of the Respondent on record as last chance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
38	16/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondents-1 to 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
39	18/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 by RPAD on the ground that earlier notices not returned. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>

40	44/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.  For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	<b>05.02.2021</b>
41	45/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.  For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	<b>05.02.2021</b>
<b><u>ICICI BANK LIMITED- CHAMARAJPET BRANCH</u></b>			
42	458/2018	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as final chance.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
43	462/2018	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as final chance.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
44	465/2018	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.  Petitioner directed to send proposal settlement to the Respondent.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
45	485/2018	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
46	494/2018	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as final chance.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
47	14/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	<b>09.02.2021</b>

		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last chance.	
<b><u>STATE BANK OF INDIA- RACPC, MALLESHWARAM BRANCH</u></b>			
48	144/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent-2 is also present. Both the parties prayed Time. Heard. Time granted.  For settlement of the dispute as ultimate chance.	<b>18.01.2021</b>
<b><u>MANIPAL HOSPITALS- OLD AIRPORT ROAD</u></b>			
49	38/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondents-1 & 2 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>10.02.2021</b>
<b><u>SMT. B. GANGAMMA &amp; OTHERS V/S. BESCO, HANUMANTHANAGAR.</u></b>			
50	250/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and Respondent-1 is also present. Respondent-2 is absent.  Petitioner's Counsel filed Memo of Withdrawal, which is signed by the Petitioners-1 & 3 also.  Heard. Records perused. Memos accepted. The Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.	
<b><u>MRS. MANJU SINHA V/S. UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD AND ANOTHER</u></b>			
51	263/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Counsel for the Respondent-1 is also present. Respondent-2 is absent. He did not file Written Statement.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through Conciliation.	<b>20.01.2021</b>

Prepared by: Srinath R.S. - 

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N.   
Shivaleela M.G. - 

**BY ORDER OF  
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**

  
**Sheristedar (I/c)**  
06/01/2021